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# Polylogarithms, Dirichlet Series, and Certain Constants 

By Daniel Shanks

The polylogarithms $F_{s}(z)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{s}(z)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{m}}{m^{s}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z|<1$ and for the real part of $s \geqq 0$, and by analytic continuation for other values of $z$ and $s$. They can be regarded as functions of $z$, with a parameter $s$, given by the power series (1), or as functions of $s$, with a parameter $z$, given by the Dirichlet series (1).

Recently [1] we discussed the Dirichlet series defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{a}(s)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{-a}{2 k+1}\right)}{(2 k+1)^{s}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its analytic continuation, where $\left(\frac{-a}{2 k+1}\right)$ is the Jacobi symbol. It is expressible in closed form for three-quarters of all combinations of integers $a$ and $s$; namely, for $s \leqq 1$ and all $a$, for $s$ even and $>1$ if $a<0$, and for $s$ odd and $>1$ if $a>0$.

The remaining, non-closed form $L_{a}(n)$ for $a= \pm 2$, $\pm 3$, and $\pm 6$, with $n \leqq 10$, were computed [1] by a device, which (in essence) is based on the fact that all of the so-called characters modulo 8,12 , or 24 are real. In contrast, the corresponding $L_{a}(n)$ for $a= \pm 5, \pm 7$, and $\pm 10$, say, which were also desired, are not obtainable by that method, unless it is modified, since now some of the characters are complex.

We did, however, express $L_{a}(s)$ as a linear combination of the functions $S_{s}(x)$ or $C_{s}(x)$ for various values of $x$ determined by the integer $a$ [1, equations (24)-(27)]. These functions [1, equation (18)] are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{s}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2 \pi(2 k+1) x}{(2 k+1)^{s}} \\
& C_{s}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2 \pi(2 k+1) x}{(2 k+1)^{s}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$
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Now consider the real and imaginary parts of $F_{s}\left(e^{i \pi \alpha / 2}\right)$. We will call them

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{s}(\alpha) & =\Omega F_{s}\left(e^{i \pi \alpha / 2}\right) \\
I_{s}(\alpha) & =g F_{s}\left(e^{i \pi \alpha / 2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
C_{s}(x)=R_{s}(4 x)-\frac{1}{2^{s}} R_{s}(8 x)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{s}(x)=I_{s}(4 x)-\frac{1}{2^{s}} I_{s}(8 x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the aforementioned linear combinations we may, therefore, express $L_{a}(s)$ in terms of the special polylogarithms (4). For example, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{5}(s)= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\left[I_{s}(0.2)-\frac{1}{2^{s}} I_{s}(0.4)+I_{s}(0.6)-\frac{1}{2^{s}} I_{s}(1.2)\right] \\
& L_{-5}(s)= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2^{s}}\right)\left[R_{s}(0.8)-R_{s}(1.6)\right] \\
& L_{10}(s)= \frac{2}{\sqrt{10}}\left[I_{s}(0.1)-\frac{1}{2^{s}} I_{s}(0.2)-I_{s}(0.3)+\frac{1}{2^{s}} I_{s}(0.6)\right.  \tag{6}\\
&\left.\quad+I_{s}(0.7)+I_{s}(0.9)-\frac{1}{2^{s}} I_{s}(1.4)-\frac{1}{2^{s}} I_{s}(1.8)\right] \\
& L_{-10}(s)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}}\left[R_{s}(0.1)-\frac{1}{2^{s}} R_{s}(0.2)+R_{s}(0.3)-\frac{1}{2^{s}} R_{s}(0.6)\right. \\
&\left.\quad-R_{s}(0.7)+R_{s}(0.9)+\frac{1}{2^{s}} R_{s}(1.4)-\frac{1}{2^{s}} R_{s}(1.8)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The Computation Staff of the Amsterdam Mathematisch Centrum, under the direction of Dr. A. van Wijngaarden, has computed [2] several tables of polylogarithms accurate to 10D. Their Table III gives $R_{s}(\alpha)$ and $I_{s}(\alpha)$ for $s=1(1) 12$ and $\alpha=0(0.01) 2$. The numbers on the right side of (6) for integral $s$ are therefore given explicitly in this table, and thus, with some simple arithmetic, we obtain our Table 1.

Table 1

| $s$ | $L_{5}(s)$ | $L_{-5}(s)$ | $L_{10}(s)$ | $L_{-10}(s)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.404962946 | 0.6456134114 | 0.9934588266 | 1.150086523 |
| 2 | 1.128043325 | 0.8827642541 | 0.9314284985 | 1.092365033 |
| 3 | 1.039982136 | 0.9616778624 | 0.9682482537 | 1.03472928 |
| 4 | 1.012801468 | 0.9874205162 | 0.9883161275 | 1.012021984 |
| 5 | 1.004182100 | 0.9958455012 | 0.9959695576 | 1.004067704 |
| 6 | 1.001381310 | 0.9986219811 | 0.9986393802 | 1.001364688 |
| 7 | 1.000458601 | 0.9995417817 | 0.9995442414 | 1.000456202 |
| 8 | 1.000152606 | 0.9998474373 | 0.9998477867 | 1.000152262 |
| 9 | 1.000050832 | 0.999491729 | 0.999992226 | 1.000050783 |
| 10 | 1.000016939 | 0.9999830616 | 0.999830687 | 1.000016932 |

Table 2
The Hardy-Littlewood Constants

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{-10}=0.67111392 \\
& h_{-9}=0 \\
& h_{-8}=1.85005441 \\
& h_{-7}=0.75737123 \\
& h_{-6}=1.03575587 \\
& h_{-5}=1.77330507 \\
& h_{-4}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rl|l}
h_{-3}=1.38342429 & h_{4}=1.37281346 \\
h_{-2}=1.85005441 & h_{5}=0.52824557 \\
h_{-1}=0 & h_{6}=0.71304162 \\
h_{0}=0 & h_{7}=1.97304317 \\
h_{1}=1.37281346 & h_{8}=0.71306310 \\
h_{2}=0.71306310 & h_{9}=0.91520897 \\
h_{3}=1.12073275 & h_{10}=1.08240211
\end{array}
$$

From Table 1, in turn, we may compute [3], [4] the Hardy-Littlewood constants $h_{a}$ for $a= \pm 5$ and $\pm 10$. Together with previously computed values, we may thus complete an 8D table of $h_{a}$ for $a=-10(1) 10$ except for $a= \pm 7$. The $L_{ \pm 7}(s)$, needed to fill this gap, may also be expressed in terms of $I_{s}(\alpha)$ and $R_{s}(\alpha)$, but this time the arguments $\alpha$ are not given explicitly in [2], and elaborate interpolation would be required to obtain comparable precision.

Alternatively, as is known, generalized harmonic series, including $L_{a}(s)$ for integer $s$, may be expressed in terms of the polygamma functions [5], [6]. However, the same difficulty arises for $L_{ \pm 7}(s)$, and again elaborate and laborious interpolation is necessary. At the author's request John W. Wrench, Jr. has kindly computed $L_{7}(2), L_{7}(4), L_{-7}(3)$ and $L_{-7}(5)$ in this way, and these numbers, together with the closed-form $L_{ \pm 7}(s)$, suffice to complete our tabulation of $h_{a}$. This is given in Table 2.
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# New Factors of Fermat Numbers 

By Claude P. Wrathall

Eleven new factors of Fermat numbers $F_{m}=2^{2^{m}}+1$ are listed below. A summary of the present status of the sequence $F_{m}$ is presented in Table 2.

The method used was suggested by Dr. J. L. Selfridge. Simply stated, the method consisted of forming a sieve array to eliminate possible factors divisible by a prime $\leqq 499$. The remaining possible factors were tested to determine if any of the congruence relationships
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